

## ALL-PARTY PARLIAMENTARY GROUP on **GAME & WILDLIFE CONSERVATION**

## "Salmonid conservation - the need for marine and freshwater approaches"

## Notes of the meeting on 23<sup>rd</sup> October 2023

Attendees

Attendees			
Name	Representing	Name	Representing
Sir Robert Goodwill	MP	GWCT	
Earl of Caithness	House of Lords	Teresa Dent	GWCT
Lord Carter of Coles	House of Lords	Alastair Leake	GWCT
Lord Shrewsbury	House of Lords	Henrietta Appleton	GWCT
Lord Forsyth	House of Lords	Dylan Roberts	GWCT
Lord Trenchard	House of Lords	Sophie Elliott	GWCT
Charlie Parkin	EFRA committee		
	policy specialist		
Sam Jones	Angling Trust		
Graeme Storey	Environment Agency		
Rob Pearson	IFCS Sussex		

Apologies - Lord Soames, Baroness Bakewell of Hardington Mandeville.

The meeting commenced with two presentations by the GWCT fisheries team.

Dylan Roberts, head of fisheries at the GWCT, presented first giving some background in the importance of the Atlantic salmon as both an indicator of a health well-managed ecosystem and a valued commercial and recreational resource. He then went on to explain that the Atlantic Salmon has experienced significant declines and that the GWCT's work on the River Frome in Dorset is part of a network of salmon index rivers attempting to identify the causes of these declines and to find solutions to problems in their freshwater environment.

There are several factors affecting freshwater quality which in turn is impacting on salmon stocks, namely: climate change reducing flows and causing floods as well as warming up rivers; barriers to migration such as dams; predation; and, most significantly, water pollution due to nutrient and sediment runoff from agriculture and water companies. Water pollution can impact on instream vegetation which is crucial for salmonid breeding success. As a result of reduced freshwater quality, Juvenile salmon smolts are smaller in size when they leave the river for the sea, and this is exacerbating marine mortality. There are also issues with growth at sea particularly during the first summer resulting in smaller adult fish returning to the natal river, resulting in fewer eggs being spawned per fish. Sediment also affects egg survival; there is only a 5-10% survival rate on a lowland river badly effected by sediment compared with 65-70% survival in a clean upland stream with little

arable production. Therefore, to conserve our salmon we need to improve water quality and reduce sediment losses and their associated nutrients into rivers.

Dylan put forward some suggestions as to how policy could address these concerns. Whilst there have been measures introduced to mitigate against agricultural runoff and water companies are required to minimise storm outflows, the current regulatory framework is poorly enforced. It is vital therefore that more resources are directed to monitoring farm and water company discharges and that breaches of regulations are followed by successful prosecutions such as repeat fines with the monies going to restoration. He suggested that a feasibility study should be undertaken on centralising the collection, storage and recycling of farm slurry, manure and chicken waste. The resulting byproducts could potentially be used to produce gas for energy and cheaper fertilizers back to farms. This would go a long way to solving the issue of animal waste pollution in rivers in diary and chicken producing areas such as on the Wye. In addition, there is little in the way of advice available for farmers to understand what measure are needed and where. This would be greatly aided by a permitting scheme based on a risk assessment that identified nutrient and sediment pathways, with government support available through mandatory sediment traps at the identified key points or changing gate entrances into fields, only then can landowners plough fields. Such a scheme should cover all potential sources of pollution including road drains.

Such measures would address water quality and the freshwater aquatic environment in totality. To specifically address salmonid conservation additional measures such as a review and simplify the rules around the removal of barriers to migration, a more balanced approach to managing predation given that the conservation status of the predators is better than that of salmon and, importantly, a combined approach to conservation that addresses both the freshwater and marine environment as the salmon's lifecycle, along with other diadromous species, is related to conditions in both. A copy of Dylan's slides can be found on the APPG event page.

Dr Sophie Elliott then presented on the threats facing salmonids in the marine environment. She began by emphasising that whilst lots is known about the freshwater stages of diadromous species little is known about the marine stage which can be anything from I year (Atlantic Salmon) to 10 years (sturgeon). This is because monitoring at sea is poor, affected by few vessels supplying data which are mainly fishing for demersal species and so fishing at a different depth and under-reporting. This is further exacerbated by the miss-identification. In addition, the International Council for Exploration of the Sea (ICES) Working Group on Bycatch of Protected Species (WGBYC) who are tasked with assessing bycatch of Protected Endangered and Threatened Species (PETS) does not include Atlantic salmon or European eel as it considers these should be assessed by their own working groups (WGNAS and WGEEL) who do not have access to the bycatch information. Consequently, there is no UK bycatch risk assessment for these species. Finally, the Marine Management Organisation (MMO) statistics are based on data from larger vessels (>10m long) with little information from the small vessels (>80% UK fleet).

Global Fishing Watch data is available, but this data is unable to distinguish between gear types (i.e. pelagic versus demersal trawlers) and vessels <12m well. This is of significant, as most bycatch occurs from gillnetting and pelagic (trawlers) vessels and most gillnetters are <10m. Some fine-scale vessel monitoring data (IVMS) is owned by Defra to monitor gillnetting, but the 0-5m minimum depth bylaw prohibition is ineffective. Research has shown that early mornings and during the day salmonids can dive to depths of up to 60m.

Given this context, Sophie put forward suggestions about how the marine environment could contribute to salmonid conservation. Firstly, diadromous fish need to be recognised as both a freshwater and marine species to ensure that they are accounted for in marine management plans including Marine Protected Areas (MPA). It should be noted that Atlantic Salmon are a Special Areas of Conservation (SAC) species so at-sea protection measures could be put in place as is being undertaken in Europe. Secondly, further resources should be directed to fish-tracking studies so

that actions can be targeted. Thirdly, to make the connection between the freshwater and marine environments, MPAs should connect to the natal rivers so that gillnetting could be further avoided in the estuarian and surrounding areas of the natal rivers. Finally, at sea, there should be further scaling up of the onboard observations and onshore monitoring so that a diadromous fish bycatch risk assessment can be undertaken. A copy of Sophie's slides can be found on the APPG event page.

Sir Robert Goodwill, APPG chair, then opened the meeting up to questions. These revolved around a number of different topics. Concern was expressed about seal predation and the need for licensed control. This is unlikely to be effective as the licensing system does not allow sufficient numbers to be managed. There was also a discussion on hatcheries and restocking. Whilst many riparian owners regard it as an opportunity to improve salmon conservation the science suggests that it is ineffective, possibly harmful to the genetic integrity of the native broodstock and doesn't address the underlying problems of the decline.

The discussion then moved to the marine environment. The Environment Agency only has an advisory role and is required to consult the IFCA for activities 6 miles out (the marine environment). However, there was also a general call for greater sharing of data between the IFCAs/EA and Defra and the rollout of the IVMS data to include the <10m fleet.

Addressing farm pollution was discussed with regard to the importance of a catchment-based approach. The identification of sediment pathways at this scale presents a sizeable challenge. However, some farmer-led initiatives are taking forward water quality improvements at the catchment scale. In addition, government policy is not joined up with agri-environment encouraging mitigation measures whilst net zero policy is encouraging the growing of biofuels such as maize which can, if poor practice is adopted, result in significant sediment runoff. The point was also made that dairy farmers, in the drive to remain profitable, have increased herd sizes and kept them indoors resulting in issues of waste disposal and silage production. The idea of a central waste collection (akin to collecting milk) was suggested but this was likely to be prohibitively expensive. A feasibility study however was considered a possible next step.

The impacts of climate change and the increasing demand for water on flow levels were discussed given that salmonid breeding success is impacted. The challenge is where to invest the limited resources available given that there are so many pressures on the salmon's life cycle. More research is needed to prioritise actions.

## Game & Wildlife Conservation Trust 22 November 2023